

Program Information

Program Sponsor: Gateway Technical College		
Program Type:		
BMS CG DMS HT HTL MLA		
MLM ☐ MLS ☐ MLT ☒ Path A ☐ PHM	☐ PBT ☐	
Program Location (City, State): Racine, WI		
Program Director Name/Credentials: Rebecca Lewellyn Beckmann, M	S, MLS (ASCP) CM	
Program Director Phone: 262-564-2554		
Program Director Email: beckmannr@gtc.educ		
Name, Medical Director (if applicable): N/A		
Please indicate: Initial Continuing		
Standard I.A: Sponsorship – Sponsoring Institution	⊠ YES □ NO	
	\square NA (if NA, Std. I.B or I.C	
	must be YES)	
Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): ———	None Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved:		
Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as	None	
identified by the site visit team:	Resolved on site	
-	Unresolved	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved as identified by the site visit team:		
NA		



Additional Site Visit Questions		
Was the accreditation status of the sponsor verified and adequate?	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA	
Remaining Concerns: NA		
Standard I.B: Sponsorship – Consortium Sponsor	☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA (if NA, see notes below) - Std. I.A or I.C must be YES - Continue to I.C.	
Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s):	 None Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved 	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA ——		
Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team:	☐ None ☐ Resolved on site ☐ Unresolved	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved as identified by the site visit team: NA		
Additional Site Visit Questions		
Did at least one member of the consortium meet requirements of a sponsoring institution specified in I.A?	☐ YES ☐ NO ☒ NA	
Remaining Concerns: NA		
Standard I.C: Sponsorship – Multilocation Sponsor	☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA (if NA, see notes below)	



	- Std. I.A or I.B must be YES	
	- Continue to I.D.	
Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s):	☐ None ☐ Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site ☐ Resolved on site ☐ Unresolved	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA		
Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team:	☐ None ☐ Resolved on site ☐ Unresolved	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: NA NA	lved or left unresolved as	
Additional Site Visit Questions		
Was the accreditation status of the sponsor verified and adequate?	YES NO NA	
2. Was there proof of minimum of certificate of completion given upon program completion?	☐ YES ☐NO ☐ NA	
Remaining Concerns: NA		
Standard I.D: Sponsorship – Responsibilities of the Sponsor		
Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): Aurora Health Care's affiliation agreement does not indicate what program is included in the agreement. Mercy Hospital and Medical Center's affiliation agreement is stated for the Health Occupations Program and not Medical Laboratory Technology program.	☐ None ☐ Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site ☐ Resolved on site ☐ Unresolved	



Aurora Healthcare's location of Burlington, Elkhorn, Kenosha and Mount Pleasant have the same Clinical Liaison. Without indicating the		
clinical liaison at each of these sites the facility fact sheet for each location is incomplete and the communication can't be verifed by		
self-study reviewers.		
Although currently an active affiliation agreement, it is noted that the Froedtert South affiliation agreement is to be terminated on June 27, 2025.		
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso	lved or left unresolved:	
Aurora Healthcare's affiliation agreement was verified by the site visit ton it	eam that indicated the program	
Mercy health and Medical center's affiliation agreement included the Medical Laboratory Technology program as verified by site visit team		
Aurora Helathcare has different clinical liaisons for each location (Burlington, Elkhom, Kenosha and Mount Pleasant) as verified by clinical facility fact sheets		
An updated Froedtert South affiliation agreement was verified by the site visit team.		
Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as	☑ None	
identified by the site visit team:	Resolved on site Unresolved	
	Unresolved	
identified by the site visit team: Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolidentified by the site visit team: NA	Unresolved	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team:	Unresolved	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: NA Additional Site Visit Questions 1. Was there adequate documentation of ongoing	Unresolved	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: NA Additional Site Visit Questions 1. Was there adequate documentation of ongoing communications between several clinical/academic sites and	Unresolved Ived or left unresolved as YES NO NA (Hospital-based	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: NA Additional Site Visit Questions 1. Was there adequate documentation of ongoing	Unresolved	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolidentified by the site visit team: NA Additional Site Visit Questions 1. Was there adequate documentation of ongoing communications between several clinical/academic sites and sponsor to verify exchange of information and coordination of	Unresolved Ived or left unresolved as IVES NO NA (Hospital-based programs only, with no	



Additional Comments for Standard I:		
No additional comments for standard I		
Standard II.A: Assessment and Continuous Quality Improvement -	– Systematic Assessment	
Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s):	None Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA		
Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team:	⊠ None □ Resolved on site □ Unresolved	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved as identified by the site visit team: NA		
Additional Site Visit Questions		
Was there evidence of a mechanism for continually and systematically reviewing the effectiveness of the program?	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA	
Remaining Concerns: NA		
Standard II.B: Assessment and Continuous Quality Improvement – Outcome Measures		
Note: Outcome measures below benchmarks do not result in citations and should not be noted as concerns, however they do result in a required Action Plan.		
Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): ———	NoneResolved in response toSelf-Study Review; verified on site	



	Resolved on site	
	Unresolved	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso	lved or left unresolved:	
Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as	None Non	
identified by the site visit team:	Resolved on site	
	☐ Unresolved	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso	lved or left unresolved as	
identified by the site visit team:		
⊠ NA		
Additional Site Visit Questions		
Was there adequate verification of the outcome measures	☐ YES ☐ NO	
statistics provided in the Self-Study Review?		
Stationed provided in the con ctudy freview.	NA (Initial programs only)	
2. Was the review of results documented, analyzed, and used in	☐ YES ☐ NO	
program assessment and continuous quality improvement of the	NA (Initial programs only)	
program?	TVY (Illidai programs omy)	
Remaining Concerns: NA		
Standard II.C: Assessment and Continuous Quality Improvement -	– Program Assessment and	
Modification		
Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-	None	
study reviewer(s):	Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified	
	on site	
	Resolved on site	
	Unresolved	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved:		
NA v		
Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as	⊠ None	
identified by the site visit team:	Resolved on site	
	Unresolved	



· 	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolidentified by the site visit team: NA	ved or left unresolved as
Additional Site Visit Questions	
1. Was there adequate verification of any additional supportive documentation demonstrating data collection, review and evaluation that resulted in program improvement, and documentation that linked program improvement to changes made as a result of program review and evaluation?	☐ YES ☐ NO ☑ NA (Initial programs only)
Remaining Concerns: NA	
Additional Comments for Standard II:	
No additional comments for standard II	
Standard III.A: Resources – General Resources	
Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): Although an excerpt is provided it is unclear to the self-study reviewers how program evaluation information/data to evaluate resource adequacy is included as part of the program evaluation.	☐ None ☐ Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site ☐ Resolved on site ☐ Unresolved
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolution NA	ved or left unresolved:
Program director and health science dean confirmed and provided the program has adequate resources.	documentation verifying that
Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team:	None Resolved on site Unresolved
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolidentified by the site visit team:	ved or left unresolved as



Additional Site Visit Questions		
Is there evidence that financial resources for the continued operation of the program are sufficient to achieve program goals?	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA	
2. Did adequate verification include documentation that personnel resource assessment is a part of continuous program evaluation?	☑ YES □ NO □ NA	
3. Did adequate verification include demonstration that program physical resources are sufficient to allow achievement of program goals?	X YES	
Remaining Concerns: NA		
Standard III.B: Resources – Personnel Resources		
Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): Ratio for Instructor to Student at the clinical laboratory should be reviewed, as it appears all 12 students are at a clinical site at a time, yet the Facility Fact Sheets often stated 1 student	☐ None ☐ Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site ☐ Resolved on site ☐ Unresolved	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved:		
Program director and the clinical affiliates confirmed that instructor to	student ratio at clinicals was 1:1	
Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team:	☑ None☐ Resolved on site☐ Unresolved	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved as identified by the site visit team: NA		
Additional Site Visit Questions		



1. Are faculty and staff sufficient and appropriately qualified to perform the functions in documented job descriptions and to allow achievement of program outcomes?		
Remaining Concerns: NA		
Standard III.C: Resources – Physical Resources		
Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s):	None Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA		
Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as	None	
identified by the site visit team:	Resolved on site Unresolved	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved as identified by the site visit team: NA		
Additional Site Visit Questions		
Were the program's facilities sufficient to achieve program goals?	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA	
2. Were supplies and equipment for laboratory analyses in student laboratory(ies) adequate?	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA	
3. Were supplies and equipment for laboratory analyses in clinical laboratory(ies) adequate?	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA	
4. Were adequate information resources current and available for learning?	⊠ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA	



areas of the curriculum current, available, and utilized?	YES NO NA
Remaining Concerns: NA	
Additional Comments for Standard III:	
No additional comments for standard III	
Standard IV.A: Students – Publications and Disclosures	
Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s):	None Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso NA ——	lved or left unresolved:
Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team:	⊠ None □ Resolved on site □ Unresolved
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: NA	lved or left unresolved as
Additional Site Visit Questions	
1. Was there adequate verification, through review of materials and interviews, that the following are clearly defined, published and readily available to prospective and enrolled students?	
a. Program mission statement	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA
b. Program goals and competencies	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA
c. Program accreditation/approval status including the name, address and contact information for NAACLS	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA



d. Progra	am outcomes identified in Standard II.B.	│
		⋈ NA (Initial programs only)
e. List of	current clinical affiliates.	⊠ YES □ NO
		☐ NA (Hospital-based
		programs with no clinical
		sites)
f. Admiss	sion criteria, both academic and non-academic,	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA
including	g essential functions, advance placement, etc.	
	of course descriptions (and associated credit hours if	☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA
available	2)	
h. Name	s and academic rank of program director and faculty	☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA
	dical director for Pathologist's' Assistant programs)	
,		
i. Currer	nt tuition and fees with withdrawal and refund policy	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA
i Servic	ce work policies for students	YES NO NA
j. Och vic	work policies for students	
k. Policie	es and procedures for:	
i.	Advising/guiding students through the program	YES □ NO □ NA
1.	while maintaining confidentiality and impartiality	
	while maintaining confidentiality and impartainty	
ii.	Clinical assignment when placement cannot be	YES □ NO □ NA
	immediately guaranteed	
	Otodont misson and annual masses	
iii.	Student grievance and appeals process	│ ☑ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA
iv.	Criteria for program completion including	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA
	probation, suspension, and dismissal	
	·	54
I. Acade	mic calendar	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA
m. Rules	s and regulations governing acceptable personal	
	demic conduct, including behavior	
Remaining	Concerns: NA	
Standard IV	B: Students – Student Records	
	rom Self-Study Review as identified by the self-	⊠ None
study revie	wer(s):	



	Self-Study Review; verified	
	on site	
	Resolved on site	
	Unresolved	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso	lved or left unresolved:	
Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as	None	
identified by the site visit team:	Resolved on site	
	Unresolved	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved as identified by the site visit team:		
Additional Site Visit Questions		
Is there evidence that student records are maintained and contain the materials required by Standard IV.B?	☑ YES □ NO □ NA	
2. Are transcripts/students records permanently maintained and		
do they include legal names, grades and credits and dates of admission and completion?		
Was there adequate verification of documented sponsor	⊠ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA	
policies regarding student records and retention?		
Remaining Concerns: NA		
Standard IV.C: Students – Health and Safety		
Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s):	None Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso	lved or left unresolved:	



⊠ NA	
Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as	None
identified by the site visit team:	Resolved on site
	Unresolved
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolidentified by the site visit team:	ved or left unresolved as
Additional Site Visit Questions	
Was it verified and adequate that the health and safety of	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA
students, faculty and patients during educational activities is	
adequately safeguarded?	
Was it verified and adequate that there is documentation that	
students receive biohazard and safety training?	
Remaining Concerns: NA	
<u> </u>	
Additional Comments for Standard IV:	
Additional Comments for Standard IV:	
Additional Comments for Standard IV: No additional comments for standard IV Standard V: Operational Policies – Fair Practices	
Additional Comments for Standard IV: No additional comments for standard IV	None Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved
Additional Comments for Standard IV: No additional comments for standard IV Standard V: Operational Policies – Fair Practices Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso	Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved
Additional Comments for Standard IV: No additional comments for standard IV Standard V: Operational Policies – Fair Practices Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s):	Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved
Additional Comments for Standard IV: No additional comments for standard IV Standard V: Operational Policies – Fair Practices Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso	Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved
Additional Comments for Standard IV: No additional comments for standard IV Standard V: Operational Policies – Fair Practices Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): —— Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved NA ——	Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved
Additional Comments for Standard IV: No additional comments for standard IV Standard V: Operational Policies – Fair Practices Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso	Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved



	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team:	lved or left unresolved as
Additional Site Visit Questions	
Was it verified and adequate that student recruitment and admission is non-discriminatory and in accordance with existing governmental regulations and those of the sponsor?	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA
2. Was it verified and adequate that service work by students in clinical settings outside of academic hours is non-compulsory?	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA
3. Was it verified and adequate that students are not substituted for regular staff during their student experiences?	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA
Remaining Concerns: NA	
Additional Comments for Oton double	
Additional Comments for Standard V: No additional comments for standard V	
Standard VI: Administrative: Maintaining Accreditation – Program Responsibilities	n Sponsoring Institution
This Standard involves the administrative requirements for n throughout its award period, and therefore is not reviewed in site visit process.	the Self-Study Report or
Standard VII.A: Program Administration – Program Dire	
Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s):	None Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso	lved or left unresolved:



Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team:	⊠ None □ Resolved on site □ Unresolved
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolidentified by the site visit team: NA	ved or left unresolved as
Additional Site Visit Questions	
Does the program director have input into the budget preparation?	∑ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA
2. Is there adequate evidence that the program director has regular and consistent contact with students, faculty, and program personnel?	⊠ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA
3. Is there adequate evidence that the program director has a faculty or clinical appointment at the sponsoring institution?	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA
Remaining Concerns: NA	
Standard VII.B: Program Administration – Site Program Administration only, assigned to each participating site) ⊠ NA	ator (required for Multi-
Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): ———	☐ None ☐ Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site ☐ Resolved on site ☐ Unresolved
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA ——	
Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team:	☐ None ☐ Resolved on site ☐ Unresolved



Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolute identified by the site visit team:	lved or left unresolved as
□ NA	
Additional Site Visit Questions	
Is the site program coordinator responsible for the required	☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA
aspects of the program?	
Remaining Concerns: NA	I
Standard VII.C: Program Administration – Faculty/Instructor	
Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): Standard VII C 1 Faculty, Dr. Nicole Dutton, did not complete the Faculty Fact Sheets and provide evidence of ongoing professional development/CEUs	☐ None ☐ Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site ☐ Resolved on site ☐ Unresolved
Standard VII C 2: Aurora Healthcare's location of Burlington, Elkhorn, Kenosha and Mount Pleasant have the same Clinical Liaison. Without indicating the clinical liaison at each of these sites the facility fact sheet for each location is incomplete and one clinical liaison is not established at each site.	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolution NA	ved or left unresolved:
Standard VII C 1: The program director updated Dr. Nicole Dutton's fact evidence of on-going CEUS Standard VII C 2: Aurora Helathcare has different clinical liaisons for ea Kenosha and Mount Pleasant) as verified by clinical facility fact sheets	
Remostra and iviount ricasant, as verified by cliffical facility fact sheets	
Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team:	⊠ None □ Resolved on site □ Unresolved
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolidentified by the site visit team:	ved or left unresolved as



Additional Site Visit Questions	
Was adequate evidence of adequate knowledge and proficiency of the faculty in their content areas verified?	☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA
Was adequate evidence of didactic instructor appointments within the educational program verified?	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA
3. Was adequate evidence that faculty teach effectively at the appropriate level verified?	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA
4. Was at least one liaison identified and appointed per clinical/applied learning experiences liaison site?	 ☐ YES ☐ NO☐ NA (Hospital-based programs with no clinical sites)
5. When applicable, was there adequate verification that the clinical liaison(s) meet qualifications required for the discipline and level or program as described in Standard VII.C.2.a.	✓ YES ☐ NO☐ NA (Hospital-based programs with no clinical sites)
6. Was adequate verification made, through review of documentation and interviews, that the clinical/applied learning experiences liaison is responsible for all aspects as described in Standard VII.C.2.b.	 ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA (Hospital-based programs with no clinical sites)
Remaining Concerns: NA	
Additional Comments: No additional comments	
Standard VII.D: Program Administration – Advisory Committee	
Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s):	None Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso	ivea or lett unresolved:



⊠ NA	
Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team:	NoneResolved on siteUnresolved
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: NA	lved or left unresolved as
Additional Site Visit Questions	
Was there adequate verification, through the review of minutes, documentation, and interviews that an advisory committee is in place and active in providing input to the program relevancy and effectiveness?	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA
Remaining Concerns: NA	
Standard VII.E: Education Coordinator (when required) NA	
Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s):	 None Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso NA	lved or left unresolved:
Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team:	☐ None ☐ Resolved on site ☐ Unresolved
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: NA NA	lved or left unresolved as



Additional Site Visit Questions	
1. Was there adequate verification, through the review of documentation and interviews, that the education coordinator meets the qualification as described in Standard VII.E (when applicable)?	☐ YES ☐ NO ⊠ NA
2. Was there adequate verification, through the review of documentation and interviews, that the education coordinator meets the responsibilities as described in Standard VII.E (when applicable)?	☐ YES ☐ NO ⊠ NA
Remaining Concerns: NA	
Standard VII.F: Program Administration – Medical Director (for Pa	ath A Programs only) 🛛 N/A
Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso	□ None □ Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site □ Resolved on site □ Unresolved Ived or left unresolved:
Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team:	☐ None ☐ Resolved on site ☐ Unresolved
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: NA NA	lved or left unresolved as
Additional Site Visit Questions	
1. Was there adequate verification, through the review of documentation and interviews, that the medical director is responsible for all aspects of program administration and management as described in Standard VII.F.2. (when applicable)?	☐ YES ☐ NO ⊠ NA



No additional comments for standard VII	
Standard VIII.A. Curriculum Requirements – Instructional Areas	
Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s):	None ☐ Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site ☐ Resolved on site ☐ Unresolved
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resonant NA	lved or left unresolved:
Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team:	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resoldentified by the site visit team:	lved or left unresolved as
identified by the site visit team:	lved or left unresolved as
identified by the site visit team: ☑ NA	Island or left unresolved as
identified by the site visit team: NA Additional Site Visit Questions 1. Was there adequate verification, through review of documentation, transcripts, and interviews, that the program meets the curricular requirements as described in the program	



Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-	None
study reviewer(s):	Resolved in response to
	Self-Study Review; verified
	on site
	Resolved on site
	Unresolved
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso	olved or left unresolved:
Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as	⊠ None
identified by the site visit team:	Resolved on site
•	Unresolved
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso	olved or left unresolved as
identified by the site visit team:	
⊠ NA	
Additional Site Visit Questions	
Was adequate verification made that learning experiences	☐ YES
are appropriate, current, and relevant for students to achieve	
entry-level competencies as described for each program	
level/discipline in Standard VIII?	
ievei/discipline in Standard viii :	
Remaining Concerns: 🖂 NA	
Standard VIII.C: Curriculum Requirements - Evaluations	
Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-	None
study reviewer(s):	Resolved in response to
Unable to determine the measurable objectives for affective domains	
and the evaluation systems that correlate with these objectives.	on site
and the evaluation systems that correlate with these objectives.	⊠ Resolved on site
Unable to determine the evaluation systems that correlate with	Unresolved
psychomotor objectives	
	i



Site visit team reviewed the objectives evaluations for all courses for affective domain and found them satisfactory	
Site visit team reviewed the evaluation system that adequately correlat objectives.	es with the psychomotor
Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as	None Resolved on site Resolved on site None Resolved on site None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None No
identified by the site visit team:	Unresolved
- <u> </u>	
Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolidentified by the site visit team: NA	lved or left unresolved as
Additional Site Visit Questions	
Was adequate verification made, through the review of documents, as well as interviews with students, graduates, and	⊠ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA
employers that evaluations of student learning used are	
appropriate and provide timely feedback for successful student	
academic standing and progression?	
2. Was adequate verification made that evaluation systems are	∑ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA
reliable indicators of program effectiveness?	
Remaining Concerns: NA	
Additional Comments: No additional comments	
No additional comments	
Standard VIII Curriculum Review:	
⊠ N/A - met NAACLS benchmarks.	
Continuing programs - if outcome measures listed in II.B. Accompanying Documentation for Self-Study are below NAACLS approved benchmarks.	
Initial programs - if there is not three years' worth of accumulate	d data.
Additional Site Visit Questions	
Were course syllabi and objectives for each subject area	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA
reviewed?	



2. Did the program have appropriate objectives in the cognitive, psychomotor, and affective domains?	⊠ YES ∐ NO ∐ NA
3. Did course objectives show progression to the level	
consistent with entry into the profession?	
4. Were evaluation systems reviewed for each subject area and	│ ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA
in alignment with course objectives?	
5. Were evaluation systems in the cognitive, psychomotor, and	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA
affective domain reviewed?	
6. Were evaluation systems employed frequently enough to	⊠ YES □ NO □ NA
provide faculty and students with timely indications of a	
student's academic standing and progress, and to serve as a	
reliable indicator of the effectiveness of instruction and course	
design?	
Remaining Concerns: NA	
Additional Comments for Standard VIII:	
No additional comments for standard VIII	



Summary Page

The site visit team does not have the authority to speak on behalf of nor bind NAACLS regarding a program's compliance with the Standards, nor can they predict accreditation actions. These responsibilities rest solely with the NAACLS Board of Directors, which has the exclusive right to determine whether or not accreditation is to be granted or continued.

This document is compiled based on information supplied to the site visit team by the program director and other officials. NAACLS makes no representation as to its accuracy. The responsibility for accuracy of the information provided to the team rests solely with the program director and other officials.

Program Sponsor: Gateway Technical College
City, State: Racine, WI
Dates of Visit: 9/11/2025-9/12/2025
Type of Program Visited:
□ Biomedical Scientist □ Cytogenetic Technologist □ Diagnostic Molecular Scientist □ Histotechnician □ Histotechnologist □ Medical Laboratory Assistant □ Medical Laboratory Microbiology □ Medical Laboratory Scientist □ Medical Laboratory Technician □ Pathologists' Assistant □ Phlebotomy □ Public Health Microbiology

Areas of Strength:

MLT program is guided by highly knowledgeable and experienced program director.

MLT program has strong support from clinical affiliates who are eager to accept students for clinical rotation and readily employ graduates.

The program has supportive administration and student services to help students navigtate through the program requirements

Remaining Concerns Identified by the Site Visit Team:



Directions: If there are outstanding concerns after the site visit, please ensure that the text used in the review above matches the text used on the Summary Page. NAACLS Staff strongly recommends to copy and paste concerns directly from the previous section into the rows below.

Standard #	Concern

Message to Program Director: Please review the report carefully and respond in writing to all concerns found in the body of the report and on the summary page. A written acknowledgment of receipt of this report must be received by NAACLS within 30 days, even if there are no concerns included in this report.



Affirmation Page

Program Spo	nsor: Gateway Technical Colle	ege		
Sponsor Type	Sponsoring Institution			
Program Type	9:			
E	BMS CG DCLS	DMS HT HTL MLA		
	MLM MLS MLT	Path A □ PHM □ PBT □		
Program Loca	ation (City, State):	Racine, WI		
Program Dire	ctor Name/Credentials:	Rebecca Lewellyn Beckmann, MS, MLS (ASCP) (CM	
Program Dire	ctor Phone:	262-564-2554		
Program Dire	ctor Email:	beckmannr@gtc.educ		
Medical Direc	tor Name: (if applicable)	N/A		
Please indica	te: Initial ⊠ Continu	uing □		
Team Coordina	<u>ator</u>			
Name and Title:	Siddra Dar Program Director			
Institution:	Raritan Valley Community Colle	ge		
Address:	118 Lamington Road			
City/State:	Branchburg, N Telephone/Email: 908-526-1200/siddra-majeed.dar@raritanval.ed			
I affirm and agre	ee with the Site Visit Report finding	ngs. ⊠ YES □ NO* Date: 9-12-2025		
Team Member				
Name and Title:	Maria Torres Pillot Retired MLT	Program Director		
Institution:				
Address:	12236 Ladymeade Ct Apt 103			
City/State:	Woodbrigdge, VA Telepho	one/Email: 787-525-8255/mltpillot@gmail.com		
I affirm and agre	ee with the Site Visit Report finding	ngs. ⊠ YES □ NO* Date: 9/12/2025		
Educator Gene	eralist			
Name and Title:	Betty M. White, MAT, ALS(ASCF	')		
Institution:	Retired			
Address:	941 Disco Loop Road			
City/State:	Friendsville, TN Telephone/Ema	il: 865-995-9194/bobebettym@bellsouth.net		
I affirm and agre	ee with the Site Visit Report findir	ngs. ⊠ YES □ NO* Date: 9/12/2025		



*If a team member does not concur with the report, a Dissenting Report describing disagreements must be submitted to NAACLS. Instructions for completing this form can be found in the NAACLS Policy and Procedure Manual.