

Program Information

| Program Sponsor: Lorain County Community College | |
|---|--|
| Program Type: | |
| BMS CG DMS HT HTL | MLA 🗌 |
| MLM 🗌 MLS 📗 MLT 📗 Path A 📗 PHM 🕻 | ☐ PBT ⊠ |
| Program Location (City, State): Elyria, Ohio | |
| Program Director Name/Credentials: Brenda Bergman, MS, MLS (ASC | P) CM |
| Program Director Phone: 440-366-4139 | |
| Program Director Email: bbergman@lorainccc.edu | |
| Name, Medical Director (if applicable): NA | |
| Please indicate: Initial ☐ Continuing ⊠ | |
| Standard I.A: Sponsorship - Sponsoring Institution | ∑ YES □ NO |
| | ☐ NA (if NA, Std. I.B or I.C |
| r | nust be YES) |
| study reviewer(s): | None Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolve NA | ed or left unresolved: |
| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as | None |
| identified by the site visit team: | Resolved on site Unresolved |
| NA L | Officsorved |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolve identified by the site visit team: NA | ed or left unresolved as |
| Additional Site Visit Questions | |



| 1. Was the accreditation status of the sponsor verified and adequate? | YES NO NA |
|---|--|
| Parasining Concerns NA | |
| Remaining Concerns: NA | |
| | |
| Standard I.B: Sponsorship - Consortium Sponsor | YES NO |
| | NA (if NA, see notes |
| | below) - Std. I.A or I.C must be YES |
| | - Continue to I.C. |
| Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self- | None |
| study reviewer(s): | Resolved in response to |
| NA | Self-Study Review; verified |
| | on site Resolved on site |
| | Unresolved |
| Explain how concerns from the Calf Ctudy Devices were | hed or left upped by de |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso NA | ivea or lett unresolvea: |
| | |
| | |
| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as | None |
| identified by the site visit team: | Resolved on site |
| | |
| NA | Unresolved |
| NA | Unresolved |
| NA Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso | Unresolved |
| NA | Unresolved |
| NA Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: | Unresolved |
| NA Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: | Unresolved |
| NA Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: | Unresolved |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: NA NA | Unresolved |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: NA Additional Site Visit Questions | Unresolved |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: NA Additional Site Visit Questions 1. Did at least one member of the consortium meet requirements of a sponsoring institution specified in I.A? | Unresolved |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: NA Additional Site Visit Questions 1. Did at least one member of the consortium meet | Unresolved |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: NA Additional Site Visit Questions 1. Did at least one member of the consortium meet requirements of a sponsoring institution specified in I.A? Remaining Concerns: NA | Unresolved Ived or left unresolved as PYES NO NA |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: NA Additional Site Visit Questions 1. Did at least one member of the consortium meet requirements of a sponsoring institution specified in I.A? | Unresolved Ived or left unresolved as YES NO NA |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: NA Additional Site Visit Questions 1. Did at least one member of the consortium meet requirements of a sponsoring institution specified in I.A? Remaining Concerns: NA | Unresolved Ived or left unresolved as PYES NO NA |



| | - Continue to I.D. | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self- | None | |
| study reviewer(s): | Resolved in response to | |
| NA | Self-Study Review; verified on site | |
| | Resolved on site | |
| | Unresolved | |
| | | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA | | |
| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as | None | |
| identified by the site visit team: | Resolved on site | |
| , and | Unresolved | |
| NA | | |
| Explain how concerns from the Salf Study Daview were reco | lyad ar left upracelyad ac | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: NA | ived or left uniresolved as | |
| | | |
| Additional Site Visit Questions | | |
| Additional Site visit Questions | | |
| Was the accreditation status of the sponsor verified and | ⊠ YES □NO □ NA | |
| adequate? | | |
| 2. When there were a main increase of contificate of completion given | | |
| 2. Was there proof of minimum of certificate of completion given | ☐ YES ☐NO ☐ NA | |
| upon program completion? | | |
| Remaining Concerns: NA | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Standard I.D: Sponsorship - Responsibilities of the Sponsor | | |
| Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self- | None | |
| study reviewer(s): | Resolved in response to | |
| Standard 1.D.5 The following clinical affiliates did not have current | Self-Study Review; verified | |
| affiliation agreements provided: Cleveland Clinic Avon Hospital, | on site Resolved on site | |
| Cleveland Clinic Family Health Center, Cleveland Clinic Lorain Family | Unresolved | |
| Health Center. The agreements submitted expired in November 2024. | | |
| Evaloin how concerns from the Calf Cturch Devices | lyad ay laft upracalyad | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso NA | ived or left uniresolved: | |



| Standard 1.D.5: One current affiliation agreement with The Cleveland Clinic was provided in the Self | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| Study Response. This agreement includes all it's campuses: Cleveland Clinic Avon Hospital, Cleveland | | |
| Clinic Family Health Center, Cleveland Clinic Lorain Family Health Cente | r. Agreement execcuted | |
| 12/1/24 and expires 11/30/29. | | |
| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as | None | |
| • | Resolved on site | |
| identified by the site visit team: | Unresolved | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resol | ved or left unresolved as | |
| identified by the site visit team: | | |
| □NA | | |
| The affiliation agreements provided in the Self Study Reponse were ver | ified by site visitors to be | |
| current. | | |
| Additional Site Visit Questions | | |
| 4 Mes there adams to decrease that is a financial | | |
| Was there adequate documentation of ongoing | YES □ NO | |
| communications between several clinical/academic sites and | | |
| sponsor to verify exchange of information and coordination of | programs only, with no | |
| the program? | affiliates) | |
| | | |
| 2. Was there proof of minimum of certificate of completion given | | |
| upon program completion? | | |
| Remaining Concerns: NA | | |
| Tromaining Consorner 🖂 Turk | | |
| | | |
| Additional Comments for Standard I: | | |
| There are no additional comments. | | |
| | | |
| Standard II.A: Assessment and Continuous Quality Impr | ovement - Systematic | |
| Assessment | | |
| | 57. | |
| Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self- | ⊠ None | |
| study reviewer(s): | Resolved in response to | |
| | Self-Study Review; verified on site | |
| | Resolved on site | |
| | Unresolved | |
| | | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: | | |
| NA NA | | |
| | | |



| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team: | NoneResolved on siteUnresolved | |
|---|--|--|
| | | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolidentified by the site visit team: NA | ved or left unresolved as | |
| Additional Site Visit Questions | | |
| Was there evidence of a mechanism for continually and systematically reviewing the effectiveness of the program? | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA | |
| Remaining Concerns: NA | | |
| | | |
| Standard II.B: Assessment and Continuous Quality Improvement - Outcome Measures | | |
| Note: Outcome measures below benchmarks do not result in noted as concerns, however they do result in a required Action | | |
| Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): Standard II.B: Attrition rate calculations were inaccurate. Calculations were corrected based on data points provided in the Self Study. | ☐ None ☐ Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site ☐ Resolved on site ☐ Unresolved | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resol | ved or left unresolved: | |
| Standard II.B: Per the Self Study Response: the calculations were corrected, and no futher submission was needed by the college. (Calculations were confirmed to be correct by site visitors). | | |
| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team: | ⊠ None □ Resolved on site □ Unresolved | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolidentified by the site visit team: | ved or left unresolved as | |



| The site visitors reviewed the corrected attrition rates and discussed calculations with the Program Director. | | |
|---|--|--|
| Additional Site Visit Questions | | |
| Was there adequate verification of the outcome measures statistics provided in the Self-Study Review? | | |
| 2. Was the review of results documented, analyzed, and used in program assessment and continuous quality improvement of the program? | | |
| Remaining Concerns: NA | | |
| | | |
| Standard II.C: Assessment and Continuous Quality Impro Assessment and Modification | ovement – Program | |
| Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): Standard II.C.1: Several documents were provided validating that data is being collected and reviewed by various parties. However, they did not reflect how they were used in ongoing curriculum development, resource acquisition/allocations, and program modification. Standard II.C.2 Unable to locate documentation that showed clear evidence of actions taken, and further evaluation of the impact of those actions. | None Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA Standard II.C.1: The college provided a narrative in the Self Study Response which included a description of how data collected by the program was used in ongoing curriculum development, resource acquisition/allocations, and program modification. The response included several relevant examples as well as an Action Plan for calendar years 2022-2025. | | |
| Standard II.C.2: The college provided a narrative in the Self Study Response citing the creation of a new adjunct position which was created as a response to increased student enrollment. Documentation supporting this was provided in the Action Plan for 2022-2025 and in the response to Standard II.C.1. In addition, the job posting for the position and Advisory Board meeting minutes pertaining to new hires were included in the Self Study Response. | | |



| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as | None | |
|--|---|--|
| identified by the site visit team: | Resolved on site | |
| | ☐ Unresolved | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso | lved or left unresolved as | |
| identified by the site visit team: | | |
| ⊠ NA | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Additional Site Visit Questions | | |
| Additional Site Visit Questions | | |
| 1. Was there adequate verification of any additional supportive | ☐ YES ☐ NO | |
| documentation demonstrating data collection, review and | ☐ NA (Initial programs only) | |
| evaluation that resulted in program improvement, and | TVA (Initial programs only) | |
| documentation that linked program improvement to changes | | |
| made as a result of program review and evaluation? | | |
| 1 0 | | |
| Remaining Concerns: NA | | |
| | | |
| A LIM LO LII | | |
| Additional Comments for Standard II: | | |
| There are no additional comments. | | |
| Standard III.A: Resources - General Resources | | |
| Standard III.A. Resources - General Resources | | |
| Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self- | None | |
| study reviewer(s): | Resolved in response to | |
| | Self-Study Review; verified | |
| | on site | |
| | Resolved on site | |
| | Unresolved | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: | | |
| NA NA | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as | None Non | |
| identified by the site visit team: | Resolved on site | |
| | Unresolved | |
| | | |
| Fundain how concerns from the Calf Ottal Devices | brod on left women aliced as | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved as identified by the site visit team: | | |
| NA NA | | |



| Additional Site Visit Questions | | |
|--|--|--|
| Is there evidence that financial resources for the continued operation of the program are sufficient to achieve program goals? | ⊠ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA | |
| 2. Did adequate verification include documentation that personnel resource assessment is a part of continuous program evaluation? | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA | |
| 3. Did adequate verification include demonstration that program physical resources are sufficient to allow achievement of program goals? | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA | |
| Remaining Concerns: NA | | |
| Standard III.B: Resources - Personnel Resources | | |
| Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): ——— | None Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA —— | | |
| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team: | NoneResolved on siteUnresolved | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolidentified by the site visit team: NA | lved or left unresolved as | |



| Additional Site Visit Questions | |
|--|---|
| Are faculty and staff sufficient and appropriately qualified to perform the functions in documented job descriptions and to allow achievement of program outcomes? | ⊠YES □ NO □ NA |
| Remaining Concerns: NA | |
| | |
| Standard III.C: Resources - Physical Resources | |
| Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): | None Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resol NA | ved or left unresolved: |
| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as | None □ Decelved on site |
| identified by the site visit team: | Resolved on site Unresolved |
| | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolidentified by the site visit team: NA | lved or left unresolved as |
| Additional Site Visit Questions | |
| Were the program's facilities sufficient to achieve program goals? | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA |
| Were supplies and equipment for laboratory analyses in student laboratory(ies) adequate? | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA |
| Were supplies and equipment for laboratory analyses in clinical laboratory(ies) adequate? | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA |



| 4. Were adequate information resources current and available for learning? | YES □ NO □ NA |
|---|--|
| 5. Were supporting instructional materials related to all content areas of the curriculum current, available, and utilized? | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA |
| Remaining Concerns: NA | |
| Additional Comments for Standard III: | |
| There are no additional comments. | |
| Standard IV.A: Students - Publications and Disclosures | |
| Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): Standard IV.A.1.k.4: Unable to locate criteria for probation, suspension, and dismissal. | None Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso | lved or left unresolved: |
| Standard IV.A.1.k.4: The Self Study Response included the location of the dismissal policies found in the Phlebotomy Program Handbook. Addition documentation. | |
| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team: | NoneResolved on siteUnresolved |
| The Self Study Response by the program provided information found | |
| in the Phlebotomy Handbook about probation, suspension, and | |
| dismissal policies. The Handbook was recently updated and the same | |
| documentation was verified by site visitors to be found in this | |
| updated version. | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: | lved or left unresolved as |
| The documents provided in the Self Study Response were verified on si | te and resolved this concern. |
| Additional Site Visit Questions | |



| and interviews, that the following are and readily available to prospective an | clearly defined, published | |
|--|---|--|
| a. Program mission statement | | ⊠ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA |
| b. Program goals and competenci | es | ⊠ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA |
| c. Program accreditation/approval address and contact information fo | • | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA |
| d. Program outcomes identified in | Standard II.B. | |
| e. List of current clinical affiliates. | | ☐ YES ☐ NO☐ NA (Hospital-based programs with no clinical sites) |
| f. Admission criteria, both academ including essential functions, adva | | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA |
| g. A list of course descriptions (an available) | d associated credit hours if | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA |
| h. Names and academic rank of po (and medical director for Patholog | | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA |
| i. Current tuition and fees with with | ndrawal and refund policy | ⊠ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA |
| j. Service work policies for stud | ents | ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA |
| k. Policies and procedures for: | | |
| | nts through the program dentiality and impartiality | ☐ YES |
| ii. Clinical assignment wh immediately guarantee | en placement cannot be d | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA |
| iii. Student grievance and | appeals process | ⊠ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA |
| iv. Criteria for program con probation, suspension, | | ☑ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA |
| I. Academic calendar | | ⊠ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA |



| and academic conduct, including behavior | X YES NO NA |
|---|--|
| Remaining Concerns: NA | |
| Standard IV.B: Students - Student Records | |
| Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): ——— | None Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso NA —— | lved or left unresolved: |
| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team: | ⊠ None □ Resolved on site □ Unresolved |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: NA NA | lved or left unresolved as |
| Additional Site Visit Questions | |
| Is there evidence that student records are maintained and contain the materials required by Standard IV.B? | |
| 2. Are transcripts/students records permanently maintained and do they include legal names, grades and credits and dates of admission and completion? | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA |
| 3. Was there adequate verification of documented sponsor policies regarding student records and retention? | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA |
| Remaining Concerns: NA | |
| | |



| Standard IV.C: Students - Health and Safety | | |
|---|--|--|
| Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): ——— | ⊠ None □ Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site □ Resolved on site □ Unresolved | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA | | |
| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team: | | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolidentified by the site visit team: NA | lved or left unresolved as | |
| Additional Site Visit Questions | | |
| 1. Was it verified and adequate that the health and safety of students, faculty and patients during educational activities is adequately safeguarded? | ⊠ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA | |
| 2. Was it verified and adequate that there is documentation that students receive biohazard and safety training? | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA | |
| Remaining Concerns: NA | | |
| Additional Comments for Standard IV: There are no additional comments. | | |
| Standard V: Operational Policies - Fair Practices | | |
| Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): ——— | None Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site | |



| | Unresolved |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso NA | lved or left unresolved: |
| | |
| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as | None |
| identified by the site visit team: | Resolved on site |
| | Unresolved |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso | lyad or laft uprosalyad as |
| identified by the site visit team: | ived of left diffesoived as |
| NA NA | |
| | |
| Additional Site Visit Questions | |
| | T |
| Was it verified and adequate that student recruitment and | ☑ YES □ NO □ NA |
| admission is non-discriminatory and in accordance with existing governmental regulations and those of the sponsor? | |
| governmental regulations and those of the sponsor: | |
| 2. Was it verified and adequate that service work by students in | ☑ YES □ NO □ NA |
| clinical settings outside of academic hours is non-compulsory? | |
| 3. Was it verified and adequate that students are not substituted | |
| for regular staff during their student experiences? | |
| Remaining Concerns: NA | |
| | |
| Additional Comments for Standard V: | |
| There are no additional comments. | |
| Standard VI: Administrative: Maintaining Accreditation | - Program Sponsoring |
| Institution Responsibilities | |
| | |
| This Standard involves the administrative requirements for maintaining accreditation throughout its award period, and therefore is not reviewed in the Self-Study Report or site visit process. | |
| Standard VII.A: Program Administration - Program Dire | <u>ctor</u> |



| Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self- | ⊠ None | |
|--|-----------------------------|--|
| study reviewer(s): | Resolved in response to | |
| | Self-Study Review; verified | |
| | on site | |
| | Resolved on site | |
| | Unresolved | |
| | | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: | | |
| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as | None | |
| 1 | Resolved on site | |
| identified by the site visit team: | Unresolved | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: NA NA | lved or left unresolved as | |
| | | |
| Additional Site Visit Questions | | |
| Does the program director have input into the budget | ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA | |
| preparation? | | |
| proparation: | | |
| 2. Is there adequate evidence that the program director has | ⊠ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA | |
| regular and consistent contact with students, faculty, and | | |
| program personnel? | | |
| program personner: | | |
| 3. Is there adequate evidence that the program director has a | ⊠ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA | |
| faculty or clinical appointment at the sponsoring institution? | | |
| laddity of difficult appointment at the openioring mentation. | | |
| Remaining Concerns: NA | | |
| | | |
| Standard VII.B: Program Administration - Site Program | Administrator (required | |
| for Multi-location only, assigned to each participating si | | |
| ioi muiti-location only, assigned to eath participating s | ICE) MINA | |
| Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self- | None | |
| study reviewer(s): | Resolved in response to | |
| NA | Self-Study Review; verified | |
| | on site | |
| | Resolved on site | |



| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------|
| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team: NA Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved as identified by the site visit team: NA Additional Site Visit Questions 1. Is the site program coordinator responsible for the required aspects of the program? Remaining Concerns: NA Standard VII.C: Program Administration - Faculty/Instructor Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): Standard VII.C: Faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren did not include three consecutive years of professional development; therefore, unable to determine whether faculty can fulfill instructional responsibilities. Standard VII.C.2: The following clinical affiliates did not have clinical facility fact sheets submitted: University Hospital St. John Medical Center, MetroHealth Cleveland, Firelands Regional Medical Center. Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA Standard VII.C: The program's response included faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren containing three consecutive years of professional development. Standard VII.C: Clinical facility fact sheets were submitted in the Self Study Response for: University | | Unresolved |
| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team: NA Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved as identified by the site visit team: NA Additional Site Visit Questions 1. Is the site program coordinator responsible for the required aspects of the program? Remaining Concerns: NA Standard VII.C: Program Administration - Faculty/Instructor Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): Standard VII.C: Faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren did not include three consecutive years of professional development; therefore, unable to determine whether faculty can fulfill instructional responsibilities. Standard VII.C.2: The following clinical affiliates did not have clinical facility fact sheets submitted: University Hospital St. John Medical Center, MetroHealth Cleveland, Firelands Regional Medical Center. Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA Standard VII.C: The program's response included faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren containing three consecutive years of professional development. Standard VII.C: C: Clinical facility fact sheets were submitted in the Self Study Response for: University | | lved or left unresolved: |
| identified by the site visit team: NA | | |
| identified by the site visit team: NA | | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved as identified by the site visit team: NA | • | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved as identified by the site visit team: NA | identified by the site visit team. | |
| identified by the site visit team: NA Additional Site Visit Questions 1. Is the site program coordinator responsible for the required aspects of the program? Remaining Concerns: NA Standard VII.C: Program Administration - Faculty/Instructor Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): Standard VII.C: Faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren did not include three consecutive years of professional development; therefore, unable to determine whether faculty can fulfill instructional responsibilities. Standard VII.C.2: The following clinical affiliates did not have clinical facility fact sheets submitted: University Hospital St. John Medical Center, MetroHealth Cleveland, Firelands Regional Medical Center. Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA Standard VII.C: The program's response included faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren containing three consecutive years of professional development. Standard VII.C.2: Clinical facility fact sheets were submitted in the Self Study Response for: University | NA | |
| 1. Is the site program coordinator responsible for the required aspects of the program? Remaining Concerns: NA | identified by the site visit team: | ved or left unresolved as |
| 1. Is the site program coordinator responsible for the required aspects of the program? Remaining Concerns: NA | | |
| Remaining Concerns: NA Standard VII.C: Program Administration – Faculty/Instructor Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): Standard VII.C: Faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren did not include three consecutive years of professional development; therefore, unable to determine whether faculty can fulfill instructional responsibilities. Standard VII.C.2: The following clinical affiliates did not have clinical facility fact sheets submitted: University Hospital St. John Medical Center, MetroHealth Cleveland, Firelands Regional Medical Center. Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA Standard VII.C: The program's response included faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren containing three consecutive years of professional development. Standard VII.C.2: Clinical facility fact sheets were submitted in the Self Study Response for: University | Additional Site Visit Questions | |
| Remaining Concerns: NA Standard VII.C: Program Administration - Faculty/Instructor Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): Standard VII.C: Faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren did not include three consecutive years of professional development; therefore, unable to determine whether faculty can fulfill instructional responsibilities. Standard VII.C.2: The following clinical affiliates did not have clinical facility fact sheets submitted: University Hospital St. John Medical Center, MetroHealth Cleveland, Firelands Regional Medical Center. Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA Standard VII.C: The program's response included faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren containing three consecutive years of professional development. Standard VII.C.2: Clinical facility fact sheets were submitted in the Self Study Response for: University | 1 | ☐ YES ☐ NO ☒ NA |
| Standard VII.C: Program Administration – Faculty / Instructor Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): Standard VII.C: Faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren did not include three consecutive years of professional development; therefore, unable to determine whether faculty can fulfill instructional responsibilities. Standard VII.C.2: The following clinical affiliates did not have clinical facility fact sheets submitted: University Hospital St. John Medical Center, MetroHealth Cleveland, Firelands Regional Medical Center. Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA Standard VII.C: The program's response included faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren containing three consecutive years of professional development. Standard VII.C.2: Clinical facility fact sheets were submitted in the Self Study Response for: University | aspects of the program? | |
| Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): Standard VII.C: Faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren did not include three consecutive years of professional development; therefore, unable to determine whether faculty can fulfill instructional responsibilities. Standard VII.C.2: The following clinical affiliates did not have clinical facility fact sheets submitted: University Hospital St. John Medical Center, MetroHealth Cleveland, Firelands Regional Medical Center. Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA Standard VII.C: The program's response included faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren containing three consecutive years of professional development. Standard VII.C.2: Clinical facility fact sheets were submitted in the Self Study Response for: University | Remaining Concerns: NA | |
| Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): Standard VII.C: Faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren did not include three consecutive years of professional development; therefore, unable to determine whether faculty can fulfill instructional responsibilities. Standard VII.C.2: The following clinical affiliates did not have clinical facility fact sheets submitted: University Hospital St. John Medical Center, MetroHealth Cleveland, Firelands Regional Medical Center. Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA Standard VII.C: The program's response included faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren containing three consecutive years of professional development. Standard VII.C.2: Clinical facility fact sheets were submitted in the Self Study Response for: University | | |
| study reviewer(s): Standard VII.C: Faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren did not include three consecutive years of professional development; therefore, unable to determine whether faculty can fulfill instructional responsibilities. Standard VII.C.2: The following clinical affiliates did not have clinical facility fact sheets submitted: University Hospital St. John Medical Center, MetroHealth Cleveland, Firelands Regional Medical Center. Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA Standard VII.C: The program's response included faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren containing three consecutive years of professional development. Standard VII.C.2: Clinical facility fact sheets were submitted in the Self Study Response for: University | Standard VII.C: Program Administration - Faculty/Instru | uctor |
| Standard VII.C: Faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren did not include three consecutive years of professional development; therefore, unable to determine whether faculty can fulfill instructional responsibilities. Standard VII.C.2: The following clinical affiliates did not have clinical facility fact sheets submitted: University Hospital St. John Medical Center, MetroHealth Cleveland, Firelands Regional Medical Center. Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA Standard VII.C: The program's response included faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren containing three consecutive years of professional development. Standard VII.C.2: Clinical facility fact sheets were submitted in the Self Study Response for: University | Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self- | None |
| not include three consecutive years of professional development; therefore, unable to determine whether faculty can fulfill instructional responsibilities. Standard VII.C.2: The following clinical affiliates did not have clinical facility fact sheets submitted: University Hospital St. John Medical Center, MetroHealth Cleveland, Firelands Regional Medical Center. Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA Standard VII.C: The program's response included faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren containing three consecutive years of professional development. Standard VII.C.2: Clinical facility fact sheets were submitted in the Self Study Response for: University | 1 | · • |
| therefore, unable to determine whether faculty can fulfill instructional responsibilities. Standard VII.C.2: The following clinical affiliates did not have clinical facility fact sheets submitted: University Hospital St. John Medical Center, MetroHealth Cleveland, Firelands Regional Medical Center. Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA Standard VII.C: The program's response included faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren containing three consecutive years of professional development. Standard VII.C.2: Clinical facility fact sheets were submitted in the Self Study Response for: University | | • |
| Standard VII.C.2: The following clinical affiliates did not have clinical facility fact sheets submitted: University Hospital St. John Medical Center, MetroHealth Cleveland, Firelands Regional Medical Center. Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA Standard VII.C: The program's response included faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren containing three consecutive years of professional development. Standard VII.C.2: Clinical facility fact sheets were submitted in the Self Study Response for: University | therefore, unable to determine whether faculty can fulfill | |
| facility fact sheets submitted: University Hospital St. John Medical Center, MetroHealth Cleveland, Firelands Regional Medical Center. Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA Standard VII.C: The program's response included faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren containing three consecutive years of professional development. Standard VII.C.2: Clinical facility fact sheets were submitted in the Self Study Response for: University | instructional responsibilities. | Unresolved |
| Center, MetroHealth Cleveland, Firelands Regional Medical Center. Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA Standard VII.C: The program's response included faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren containing three consecutive years of professional development. Standard VII.C.2: Clinical facility fact sheets were submitted in the Self Study Response for: University | Standard VII.C.2: The following clinical affiliates did not have clinical | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA Standard VII.C: The program's response included faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren containing three consecutive years of professional development. Standard VII.C.2: Clinical facility fact sheets were submitted in the Self Study Response for: University | | |
| NA Standard VII.C: The program's response included faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren containing three consecutive years of professional development. Standard VII.C.2: Clinical facility fact sheets were submitted in the Self Study Response for: University | Center, MetroHealth Cleveland, Firelands Regional Medical Center. | |
| NA Standard VII.C: The program's response included faculty fact sheets for faculty Laurence and Forren containing three consecutive years of professional development. Standard VII.C.2: Clinical facility fact sheets were submitted in the Self Study Response for: University | Fundamental Control of the Control o | hand and off amount of the de |
| containing three consecutive years of professional development. Standard VII.C.2: Clinical facility fact sheets were submitted in the Self Study Response for: University | | |
| Standard VII.C.2: Clinical facility fact sheets were submitted in the Self Study Response for: University | | |
| | | |
| | | |



| | T |
|--|--|
| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team: | ☑ None☐ Resolved on site☐ Unresolved |
| | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: NA | lved or left unresolved as |
| | |
| Additional Site Visit Questions | |
| Was adequate evidence of adequate knowledge and proficiency of the faculty in their content areas verified? | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA |
| 2. Was adequate evidence of didactic instructor appointments within the educational program verified? | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA |
| 3. Was adequate evidence that faculty teach effectively at the appropriate level verified? | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA |
| 4. Was at least one liaison identified and appointed per clinical/applied learning experiences liaison site? | |
| 5. When applicable, was there adequate verification that the clinical liaison(s) meet qualifications required for the discipline and level or program as described in Standard VII.C.2.a. | |
| 6. Was adequate verification made, through review of documentation and interviews, that the clinical/applied learning experiences liaison is responsible for all aspects as described in Standard VII.C.2.b. | |
| Remaining Concerns: NA | , |
| Additional Comments: There are no additional comments. | |



| Standard VII.D: Program Administration - Advisory Committee | | |
|---|--|--|
| Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): | None Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolved or left unresolved: NA | | |
| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team: | NoneResolved on siteUnresolved | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: NA | lved or left unresolved as | |
| Additional Site Visit Questions | | |
| 1. Was there adequate verification, through the review of minutes, documentation, and interviews that an advisory committee is in place and active in providing input to the program relevancy and effectiveness? | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA | |
| Remaining Concerns: NA | | |
| | | |
| Standard VII.E: Education Coordinator (when required) | ⊠ NA | |
| Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): NA | ☐ None ☐ Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site ☐ Resolved on site ☐ Unresolved | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso NA | lved or left unresolved: | |
| | | |



| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as | None |
|---|--------------------------------|
| identified by the site visit team: | Resolved on site |
| · | Unresolved |
| NA | |
| For lain house and some from the Oak Ottoba Devices | hand and off arrange about the |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso | ived or left unresolved as |
| identified by the site visit team: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Additional Site Visit Questions | |
| Was there adequate verification, through the review of | ☐ YES ☐ NO ☒ NA |
| documentation and interviews, that the education coordinator | |
| meets the qualification as described in Standard VII.E (when | |
| applicable)? | |
| аррисамо). | |
| 2. Was there adequate verification, through the review of | ☐ YES ☐ NO ⊠ NA |
| documentation and interviews, that the education coordinator | |
| meets the responsibilities as described in Standard VII.E (when | |
| applicable)? | |
| | |
| Remaining Concerns: NA | |
| | |
| Standard VII.F: Program Administration - Medical Direct | tor (for Dath A Drograms |
| only) \(\simeq\) N/A | tor (for Path A Programs |
| | |
| Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self- | None |
| study reviewer(s): | ☐ Resolved in response to |
| NA | Self-Study Review; verified |
| | on site ☐ Resolved on site |
| | Unresolved |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso | |
| ⊠ NA | |
| | |
| | |
| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as | □ None |
| identified by the site visit team: | Resolved on site |
| | Unresolved |
| NA | CINGCOIVEG |



| identified by the site visit team: | ived or left unresolved as |
|--|---|
| | |
| Additional Site Visit Questions | |
| 1. Was there adequate verification, through the review of documentation and interviews, that the medical director is responsible for all aspects of program administration and management as described in Standard VII.F.2. (when applicable)? | ☐ YES ☐ NO ⊠ NA |
| Remaining Concerns: NA | , |
| Additional Comments for Standard VII: | |
| Standard VIII.A. Curriculum Requirements - Instructiona | al Areas |
| Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): | None Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso NA —— | lved or left unresolved: |
| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team: | NoneResolved on siteUnresolved |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso identified by the site visit team: | Ived or left unresolved as |
| Additional Site Visit Questions | |



| Was there adequate verification, through review of documentation, transcripts, and interviews, that the program | │ ⊠ YES ∐ NO ∐ NA |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| meets the curricular requirements as described in the program | |
| specific Unique Standards VIII.A? | |
| 2 Mars proved visites identified appropriate and most by a great | NTC NO DNA |
| 2. Were prerequisites identified appropriate and met by current and past students as applicable? | ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA |
| and past students as applicable: | |
| 3. Were elements identified in Standard VIII.A.2. and (VIII.A.3. | ⊠ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA |
| for Pathologists' Assistant) for each unique program included in | |
| the curriculum, current and relevant? | |
| Remaining Concerns: NA | |
| | |
| Ct | |
| Standard VIII.B: Curriculum Requirements - Learning Ex | (periences |
| Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self- | None |
| study reviewer(s): | Resolved in response to |
| | Self-Study Review; verified on site |
| | Resolved on site |
| | Unresolved |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso | lved or left unresolved: |
| NA SALAN NA CONCERNS From the Sen-Study Review were reso | ived of left diffesoived. |
| | |
| | |
| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as | None |
| identified by the site visit team: | Resolved on site Unresolved |
| | Officesolved |
| | |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso | lved or left unresolved as |
| identified by the site visit team: ⊠ NA | |
| | |
| Additional Site Visit Questions | |
| / takitional one front quotions | |
| | |
| Was adequate verification made that learning experiences | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA |
| are appropriate, current, and relevant for students to achieve | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA |
| are appropriate, current, and relevant for students to achieve entry-level competencies as described for each program | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA |
| are appropriate, current, and relevant for students to achieve | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA |



| Standard VIII.C: Curriculum Requirements - Evaluations | |
|---|--|
| Concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the self-study reviewer(s): ——— | None Resolved in response to Self-Study Review; verified on site Resolved on site Unresolved |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were reso NA | lved or left unresolved: |
| Any additional concerns from Self-Study Review as identified by the site visit team: | ☑ None☐ Resolved on site☐ Unresolved |
| Explain how concerns from the Self-Study Review were resolidentified by the site visit team: NA | lved or left unresolved as |
| Additional Site Visit Questions | |
| 1. Was adequate verification made, through the review of documents, as well as interviews with students, graduates, and employers that evaluations of student learning used are appropriate and provide timely feedback for successful student academic standing and progression? | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA |
| 2. Was adequate verification made that evaluation systems are reliable indicators of program effectiveness? | ⊠ YES □ NO □ NA |
| Remaining Concerns: NA | |
| | |
| Additional Comments: There are no additional comments. | |
| Standard VIII Curriculum Review: | |
| ⊠ N/A - met NAACLS benchmarks. | |



| Self-Study are below NAACLS approved benchmarks. | | |
|--|--|--|
| Initial programs - if there is not three years' worth of accumulate | Initial programs - if there is not three years' worth of accumulated data. | |
| Additional Site Visit Questions | | |
| Were course syllabi and objectives for each subject area reviewed? | ☐ YES ☐ NO ⊠ NA | |
| 2. Did the program have appropriate objectives in the cognitive, psychomotor, and affective domains? | ☐ YES ☐ NO ⊠ NA | |
| 3. Did course objectives show progression to the level consistent with entry into the profession? | ☐ YES ☐ NO ⊠ NA | |
| 4. Were evaluation systems reviewed for each subject area and in alignment with course objectives? | ☐ YES ☐ NO ⊠ NA | |
| 5. Were evaluation systems in the cognitive, psychomotor, and affective domain reviewed? | ☐ YES ☐ NO ⊠ NA | |
| 6. Were evaluation systems employed frequently enough to provide faculty and students with timely indications of a student's academic standing and progress, and to serve as a reliable indicator of the effectiveness of instruction and course design? | ☐ YES ☐ NO ⊠ NA | |
| Remaining Concerns: NA | | |
| Additional Comments for Standard VIII: There are no additional comments. | | |



Summary Page

The site visit team does not have the authority to speak on behalf of nor bind NAACLS regarding a program's compliance with the Standards, nor can they predict accreditation actions. These responsibilities rest solely with the NAACLS Board of Directors, which has the exclusive right to determine whether or not accreditation is to be granted or continued.

This document is compiled based on information supplied to the site visit team by the program director and other officials. NAACLS makes no representation as to its accuracy. The responsibility for accuracy of the information provided to the team rests solely with the program director and other officials.

| Program Sponsor: Lorain County Community College |
|---|
| City, State: Elyria, Ohio |
| Dates of Visit: October 16-17, 2025 |
| Type of Program Visited: |

| ☐ Biomedical Scientist |
|-----------------------------------|
| Cytogenetic Technologist |
| Diagnostic Molecular Scientist |
| Histotechnician |
| Histotechnologist |
| ☐ Medical Laboratory Assistant |
| ☐ Medical Laboratory Microbiology |
| ☐ Medical Laboratory Scientist |
| ☐ Medical Laboratory Technician |
| ☐ Pathologists' Assistant |
| ⊠ Phlebotomy |
| Public Health Microbiology |

Areas of Strength:

The Phlebotomy Program benefits from strong community support through affiliations and an abundance of donations from the local clinical sites. The faculty, the Program Director, and Advisory Board are actively engaged with the Program and provide a great deal of expertise which helps to provide quality instruction and mentorship. The Program has adequate staffing and strong administrative support and the Program Director is organized, thorough, conscientious, and available to students. The Program has a well structured curriculum along with an orderly and clean student laboratory, which provide students with an effective and professional hands-on learning experience. The detailed formative and summative assessments completed during their clinical experience provide opportunities



to evaluate student strengths and weaknesses in a timely and effective manner. Overall, the Program is robust and students report a high level of satisfaction with their educational experience.

Remaining Concerns Identified by the Site Visit Team:

Directions: If there are outstanding concerns after the site visit, please ensure that the text used in the review above matches the text used on the Summary Page. NAACLS Staff strongly recommends to copy and paste concerns directly from the previous section into the rows below.

| Standard # | <u>Concern</u> |
|------------|----------------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

<u>Message to Program Director:</u> Please review the report carefully and respond in writing to all concerns found in the body of the report and on the summary page. A written acknowledgment of receipt of this report must be received by NAACLS within 30 days, even if there are no concerns included in this report.



Affirmation Page

| Program Spo | m Sponsor: Lorain County Community College | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Sponsor Type | Sponsoring Institution | | | |
| Program Type | ə : | | | |
| E | BMS CG DCLS | DMS 🗌 HT 📗 HTL 🔲 MLA 🗌 | | |
| | MLM MLS MLT [| ☐ Path A ☐ PHM ☐ PBT ⊠ | | |
| Program Loca | ation (City, State): | Elyria, Ohio | | |
| Program Dire | ctor Name/Credentials: | Brenda Bergman, MS, MLS (ASCP)CM | | |
| Program Dire | ctor Phone: | 440-366-4139 | | |
| Program Dire | ctor Email: | bbergman@lorainccc.edu | | |
| Medical Direc | tor Name: (if applicable) | NA | | |
| Please indica | te: Initial Continu | uing 🛚 | | |
| Team Coordina | ator | | | |
| Name and Title: Kristy Matulevich, M.Ed, MLS (ASCP) CM, Associate Professor/Clinical Coordinato | | | | |
| Institution: | Harcum College | | | |
| Address: | 31 Pennswood Road, Suite 42 | | | |
| City/State: | Bryn Mawr, PA Telephone/Email: 610-526-1864/kmatulevich@harcum.edu | | | |
| I affirm and agree with the Site Visit Report findings. ⊠ YES ☐ NO* Date: 10/18/2025 | | | | |
| Team Member | | | | |
| Name and Title: Maria Torres-Pilot, Former Program Director, MA, MLS (ASCP)CM | | | | |
| Institution: | Retired Northern Virginia Community College MLT Program Director | | | |
| Address: | 12236 Ladymeade Court, Apt. 103 | | | |
| City/State: | Woodbridge, VA Telephone/Email: 787-525-8255/mltpilot@gmail.com | | | |
| I affirm and agre | ee with the Site Visit Report findir | ngs. 🖂 YES 🗌 NO* Date: 10/18/2025 | | |
| Educator Gene | eralist | | | |
| Name and Title: | NA | | | |
| Institution: | | | | |
| Address: | | | | |
| City/State: | Telephone/Email: | _ | | |
| I affirm and agree with the Site Visit Report findings. YES NO* Date: | | | | |



*If a team member does not concur with the report, a Dissenting Report describing disagreements must be submitted to NAACLS. Instructions for completing this form can be found in the NAACLS Policy and Procedure Manual.