



NAACLS Accreditation Application Packet Review

(Published May 2025)

Program Information

Program Sponsor: _____

Program Type:

BMS ☐ CG ☐ DMS ☐ HT ☐ HTL ☐ MLA ☐
MLM ☐ MLS ☐ MLT ☐ Path A ☐ PHM ☐ PBT ☐

Program Location (City, State, Zip): _____

Program Director Name/Credentials: _____

Program Director Phone: _____

Program Director Email: _____

Name, Medical Director (if applicable): _____

Please indicate: Initial ☐ Continuing ☐

Administrative Items

Documentation Review:

1. Has the program provided a signed letter of intent? [I.A.1 and I.A.4](#)

☐ YES ☐ NO

Standard I: Sponsorship

Narrative Review:

1. If the sponsor enlists clinical/applied learning affiliates to provide clinical/applied learning, have they provided a description of how the proposed number of sites will accommodate projected numbers of students accepted into the program? [I.B.5](#)

☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ N/A

Missing Documents/Concerns:

1. [I.B.5](#)

Documentation Review:

1. Has the program provided proof that the sponsoring institution is currently accredited by a regional or national agency? [I.A1 and I.A.4](#)

☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ N/A



NAACLS Accreditation Application Packet Review

(Published May 2025)

2. Has the program provided proof that the sponsoring institution is currently recognized by the state in which it's located? I.A.3 and I.A.5	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
3. Has the program provided proof that the sponsoring institution is currently accredited or licensed by an applicable recognition agency? I.A.2	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
4. Has the program provided letters of intent or signed affiliation agreements or memorandums of understanding from proposed clinical/applied learning sites? I.B.5	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> N/A

Missing Documents/Concerns:

1. I.A.1 and I.A.4
2. I.A.3 and I.A.5
3. I.A.2
4. I.B.5

Standard II: Assessment and Continuous Quality Improvement

Narrative Review:

1. Does the narrative summarize a documented plan for continuous and systematic assessment of program effectiveness and continuous improvement, which includes responsible individuals, processes, and an assessment schedule or timeline containing identified assessment methods? II.A	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
2. Does the narrative describe the process of program modification and how changes are assessed for effectiveness of implemented changes? II.B	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO



NAACLS Accreditation Application Packet Review

(Published May 2025)

Missing Documents/Concerns:

1. [II.A](#)

2. [II.B](#)

Documentation Review:

No documentation necessary.

Standard III: Resources

Narrative Review:

1. Does the narrative describe how the facilities, equipment, and supplies are sufficient to achieve program goals? [III.C](#)

☐ YES ☐ NO

Missing Documents/Concerns:

1. [III.C](#)

Documentation Review:

1. Has the program provided either a budget sufficient to achieve program goals or a letter of financial support? [III.A](#)

☐ YES ☐ NO

Missing Documents/Concerns:

1. [III.A](#)

Standard IV: Students

Narrative Review:

1. Does the narrative describe how the admissions criteria, essential functions, and student outcome measures will be communicated to prospective and current students? [IV.A](#)

☐ YES ☐ NO

Missing Documents/Concerns:

1. [IV.A](#)



NAACLS Accreditation Application Packet Review

(Published May 2025)

Documentation Review:

1. Were program goals that align, correlate, and support NAACLS entry-level competencies including both core and unique standards for the profession provided? IV.A	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
---	--

Missing Documents/Concerns:

1. [IV.A](#)

Standard V: Operational Policies

Narrative Review:

1. Does the narrative describe student recruitment, processing of applications, and selection of students appropriate to the size and scope of the program? V.A	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
---	--

Missing Documents/Concerns:

1. [V.A](#)

Documentation Review:

No documentation necessary

Standard VII: Program Administration

Narrative Review:

1. Does the narrative describe a faculty/personnel plan (additional faculty positions if appropriate) adequate to support the number of students proposed in the program and to achieve the program goals? VII.C	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
2. Does the narrative describe a plan for faculty and personnel positions, including names and the courses faculty will teach, when available? VII.C	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
3. Does the narrative describe the membership of the advisory committee which provides input into the program/curriculum to maintain relevancy and effectiveness? VII.D	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO



NAACLS Accreditation Application Packet Review

(Published May 2025)

Missing Documents/Concerns:

1. VII.C

2. VII.C

3. VII.D

Documentation Review:

1. Was one of the following provided?

- A completed program official approval section of the Preliminary Report with all required documentation and narratives included.
- Proof of NAACLS approval of the program director.
VII.A

☐ YES ☐ NO

2. For programs requiring an educational coordinator or medical director, was the required documentation for an approved medical director and/or education coordinator provided? VII

☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ NA

Missing Documents/Concerns:

1. VII.A

2. VII

Standard VIII: Curriculum Requirements

Narrative Review:

1. Does the narrative describe the proposed length of program, program tracks and rationale for course sequencing? VIII.A

☐ YES ☐ NO

Missing Documents/Concerns:

1. VIII.A



NAACLS Accreditation Application Packet Review

(Published May 2025)

Documentation Review:

1. Was a plan of study that contains all required courses, including prerequisites, in recommended sequence for completion of the degree or certificate provided? VIII.A	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
2. For program specific courses were course syllabi including course descriptions, measurable student learning outcomes provided? VIII.A	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
3. Was evidence of learning in the cognitive, affective and psychomotor domains provided? VIII.A	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
4. Was evidence provided that all the instructional areas delineated in Standard VIII.A, specific for the level of program, are included in the curriculum? VIII.C	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
5. Were examples of learning materials/activities and evaluation tools that align with identified program outcomes for one content area provided? VIII.C	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
6. Were evaluation tools that included learning objectives for cognitive, psychomotor and affective domains provided? VIII.C	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO

Missing Documents/Concerns:

1. VIII.A
2. VIII.A
3. VIII.A
4. VIII.C
5. VIII.C
6. VIII.C



NAACLS Accreditation Application Packet Review

(Published May 2025)

Summary Page

Missing Documents/Concerns Summary

Standard Narrative Review:

I.B.5	
-------	--

Standard Documentation Review:

I.A.1 and I.A.4	
I.A.3 and I.A.5	
I.A.2	
I.B.5	

Standard Narrative Review:

II.A	
II.B	

Standard Narrative Review:

III.C	
-------	--

Standard Documentation Review:

III.A	
-------	--

Standard Narrative Review:

IV.A	
------	--



NAACLS Accreditation Application Packet Review

(Published May 2025)

Standard Documentation Review:

IV.A	
------	--

Standard Narrative Review:

V.A	
-----	--

Standard Narrative Review:

VII.C	
VII.C	
VII.D	

Standard Documentation Review:

VII.A	
VII	

Standard Narrative Review:

VIII.A	
--------	--

Standard Documentation Review:

VIII.A	
VIII.A	
VIII.A	
VIII.C	
VIII.C	
VIII.C	



NAACLS Accreditation Application Packet Review

(Published May 2025)

Affirmation Page

Program Information

Program Sponsor: _____

Program Type:

BMS ☐ CG ☐ DMS ☐ HT ☐ HTL ☐ MLA ☐

MLM ☐ MLS ☐ MLT ☐ Path A ☐ PHM ☐ PBT ☐

Program Location (City, State, Zip): _____

Program Director Name/Credentials: _____

Program Director Phone: _____

Program Director Email: _____

Name, Medical Director (if applicable): _____

Please indicate: Initial ☐ Continuing ☐

Approved: YES ☐ NO ☐

First Reviewer

Name and Title: _____

Institution: _____

Address: _____

City/State/Zip: _____

Telephone: (____) _____

Email: _____

The first reviewer affirms and agrees with the Accreditation Application Packet Review's findings. YES ☐ NO ☐

Date: ____ / ____ / ____

Second Reviewer

Name and Title: _____

Institution: _____

Address: _____

City/State/Zip: _____

Telephone: (____) _____

Email: _____

The second reviewer affirms and agrees with the Accreditation Application Packet Review's findings. YES ☐ NO ☐

Date: ____ / ____ / ____